

Project Director and Editor:

Christine J. Nissen

Questionnaire Design, Analysis, and Report Text:

Christine J. Nissen and  
Center for Advanced Study

Research and Fieldwork:

Center for Advanced Study

© Copyright 2005, Christine J. Nissen and Center for Social Development

Printed in the Kingdom of Cambodia

This publication was made possible through support provided by Dan Church Aid, Diakonia, the Royal Danish Embassy in Bangkok, and the World Bank. The opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the donors or the Center for Social Development.

# **CORRUPTION AND CAMBODIAN HOUSEHOLDS**

Household Survey on Perceptions, Attitudes and Impact  
of Everyday Forms of Corrupt Practices in Cambodia



**CENTER FOR SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT**

Phnom Penh, Cambodia  
March 2005

This is a publication of the Center for Social Development (CSD), Phnom Penh, Cambodia. CSD is a non-governmental organization, advocating for good governance through the institutionalization of democratic values and principles. CSD supports social equity and justice and sustainable economic development, by building citizen participation in the democratic process. CSD conducts public meetings on national issues, and acts as a non-partisan and neutral forum for open and candid debates on issues of concern to society.

Request for further information should be addressed to:

The Center for Social Development  
P. O. Box 1346  
No. 19, Street 57  
Sk. Boeung Keng Kang 1  
Khan Chamkar Mon  
Phnom Penh  
Kingdom of Cambodia  
E-mail: [csd@online.com.kh](mailto:csd@online.com.kh)  
[www.online.com.kh/users/csd](http://www.online.com.kh/users/csd)  
or to:  
Christine J. Nissen  
E-mail: [christine@joker-nissen.dk](mailto:christine@joker-nissen.dk)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	i
<b>List of Acronyms</b> .....	iv
<b>Foreword</b> .....	v
<b>Acknowledgment</b> .....	vii
<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	1
<b>Introduction</b> .....	5
<b>Survey Methodology</b> .....	7
Sampling	
Research methodology	
Data-collection and data-entry	
Comparisons with other studies	
<b>Background Variables</b> .....	15
Respondents	
Interaction of background characteristics of respondents	
Respondent Households	
Reporting of results	
Respondent knowledge	
General observations on the results	
<b>General Perceptions</b> .....	25
Major problems in Cambodia	
Quality of Services	
<b>The Grey Zone Between Corruption and Gifts</b> .....	29
What is unacceptable behavior elsewhere is also unacceptable in Cambodia	
How are informal and corrupt transactions talked about?	
<b>The Mechanics of Informal and Corrupt Transactions</b> .....	37
Who asks whom?	
How predictable is the effect of a bribe?	
Factors influencing the amount of a bribe	
Gender dimensions of bribe transactions	
<b>General Attitudes and Opinions Regarding Corruption</b> .....	41
Once more: the acceptability of corruption	
Formal versus informal costs and procedures	
Bothered by corruption per se or by the amount?	
The government's will to combat corruption	

<b>Perceptions of Institutional Integrity</b> .....	45
What are the most honest and dishonest institutions?	
The relationship between assessment of service quality and perceived institutional integrity	
Where and how to take action?	
<b>Corruption in Recruitment to the Civil Service</b> .....	53
<b>Impact at Household Level</b> .....	55
The relative impact of bribes on the household's budget	
Which services are most corruption prone	
Differences across rural/urban areas and socio-economic groups	
Public Education	
<b>Conclusions</b> .....	67
Using expenditure rather than income	
The limitations of the current impact indicator – be it based on income or expenditure	
Factors which seem to increase the negative impact of corruption	
The use of vignettes	
Perceived performance and perceived integrity of service providers	
The influence of the size of a payment se	
The decreased moral acceptance of corruption in Cambodia	
<b>Selected References</b> .....	81
<b>Annexes</b> .....	83
Annex I: Definitions of Rural-Urban by the CAS survey team .....	85
Annex II: Construction of SES variable .....	86
Annex III: Income and Expenditure information compared .....	90
Annex IV: Occupation and its relationship with other background variables .....	94
Annex V: Additional tables .....	96
Annex VI: Questionnaire with marginals .....	106

## LIST OF TABLES

	<i>Page</i>
<b>SURVEY METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>7</b>
Table 1	Sample distribution of PSUs and respondents
Table 2	Background characteristics of pre-test respondents
Table 3	The Census classification of Rural/Urban is very questionable
Table 4	Overview of Respondent background variables and their interactions
Table 5	Age and sex distribution of the members of or sample Households
Table 6	Number of HH members in different urban and rural areas
Table 7	Economic activity of the young HH members – prevalence of child labor
<b>GENERAL PERCEPTIONS .....</b>	<b>25</b>
Table 8	The high cost of living is by far the most serious concern
Table 9	Customs, courts and police are seen as providing the worst service, the perception of public health care is declining
<b>THE GREY ZONE BETWEEN CORRUPTION AND GIFTS .....</b>	<b>29</b>
Table 10	Cambodians overwhelmingly describe displays of non-integrity as unacceptable
Table 11	The vocabulary to talk about informal payments from extortion to gifts out of kindness
Table 12	Characteristics of types of informal payments
Table 13A	Bribery rather than corruption is the most often used label for informal payments
Table 13B	Bribery rather than corruption is the most often used label for informal payments
<b>THE MECHANICS OF INFORMAL AND CORRUPT TRANSACTIONS .....</b>	<b>37</b>
Table 14	Both gifts and bribes are usually initiated by the giver, but in the case of bribes, middlemen often play a facilitating role
Table 15	Bribing no sure ticket to service in Cambodia
Table 16	The majority opinion is that the poor are unlikely to get a rebate on informal fees
Table 17	Most Cambodians believe that personal relationships cushion against extortion
Table 18	Bribe givers are more often women than men
Table 19	Female civil servants are seen as less extortionate than their male colleagues
Table 20	Being a woman does not mean being asked for a higher bribe
<b>GENERAL ATTITUDES AND OPINIONS REGARDING CORRUPTION.....</b>	<b>41</b>
Table 21	Cambodians very reluctant to call corruption a ‘fact of life’
Table 22	Corruption does not become acceptable just because it is so widespread

Table 23	A small salary does not entitle a civil servant to bribes, although some consider tea money acceptable	
Table 24	Cambodians very divided over big bribes being more corrupt than small bribes	
Table 25	Cambodians not willing to admit that they would accept bribes	
Table 26	Paying official fees and following official procedures costs very much time	
Table 27	Cambodians are only bothered by a corrupt official if the amount cannot be negotiated down to a reasonable level	
Table 28	The government is not seen as sincerely combating corruption	
<b>PERCEPTIONS OF INSTITUTIONAL INTEGRITY</b> .....		<b>45</b>
Table 29	Courts, tax & customs and the police perceived as most the dishonest institutions	
Table 30	However one phrases the question, the police, the courts and those ‘in charge of the money’ are seen as the most corrupt parts the national government I	
Figure 1	Correlation between service quality rating and integrity rating	
Table 31	However one phrases the question, the police, the courts and those ‘in charge of the money’ are seen as the most corrupt parts the national government II	
Table 32	The first priority action against corruption is to rid the administration of corrupt officials	
<b>IMPACT AT HOUSEHOLD LEVEL</b> .....		<b>55</b>
Table 33	Reported bribes as a proportion of total expenditure (or income) is a very limited indicator of the impact of corruption on the household economy	
Table 34	Number of contacts, Frequency and amount of Bribes	
Table 35	Payments for services, bribes as part of total costs for services	
Table 36	Education is responsible for half of all HH corruption expenditure	
Table 37	Payments for services, rural & urban respondents and different socio-economic groups	
Table 38A	Bribe payments for public education are regular small payments	
Table 38B	Payments for public education services	
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b> .....		<b>67</b>
Table 39	The amount of a bribe	
Table 40	Correlation between Corruption severity index and most dishonest institution rating	
Figure 2	Correlation between Corruption severity index and most dishonest institution rating	
Figure 3	Relationship actual official expenditure, perceived quality of service and perceived integrity	
Table 41	Increased moral rejection of corruption: comparing 1998 and 2004 data	

<b>ANNEXES</b> .....	83
Table A:	Definitions of Rural-Urban by the CAS survey team
Table B:	Yearly per capita expenditure cut-off points per rural/urban area for SES class definition
Table C:	Various SES indicators cross-tabulated
Table D:	Expenditure correlates better than income with assets
Table E:	The higher the expenditure the more realistic reported income
Table F:	The relationship between income and savings is U-shaped
Table G:	The average ratio of saving by income is huge
Table H:	Occupation categories
Table I:	Primary and secondary occupations of respondents, heads of HH, and all HH members
Table J:	Primary occupation respondents across rural and urban areas
Table K:	Primary occupation respondents by sex, educational attainment and socio-economic status of the HH
<b>ADDITIONAL TABLES</b> .....	96
Table 8A:	What is currently the most serious problem in Cambodia? Differences across background variables
Table 9A:	Quality of Service. Aware scores by background variable
Table 9B:	Quality of Service. Relevant Net Opinion differences along background variables
Table 10A:	Situations of non-acceptable behaviors evaluated. Differences along background variables
Table 14A:	What occurs in the majority of the cases when someone bribes an official? Age differences
Table 15A:	How certain are Cambodians that a bribe is going to be effective? Differences along the rural/urban and educational attainment dimensions
Table 16-28A:	General attitudes and opinions. Differences along background variables
Table 29A:	Institutional integrity. Aware scores by background variables
Table 29B:	Institutional integrity. Differences along background variables
Table 29C:	Most dishonest institution. Relevant background differences
Table 30A:	Ministry or agency considered most corrupt. Differences along background variables
Table 37A:	Payments for services actual average amounts
Table 37B:	Number of respondent households paying for particular services: official costs & bribe payments

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

BKK	Bangkok
CAS	Center for Advanced Study
CSD	Center for Social Development
CSES	Cambodia Socio-Economic Household Survey
HH	Household
LDC	Lesser Developed Country
MAFF	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
MEF	Ministry of Economy and Finance
MoP	Ministry of Planning
NGO	Non Governmental Organization
PSU	Primary Sampling Unit
SES	Socio-Economic Status
SU	Sampling Unit
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
TAF	The Asia Foundation
WB	World Bank

All amounts are reported in US\$.  
\$1 equals 4.000 Riel

## FOREWORD

Corruption is a pervasive phenomenon destroying the development and future prospects of Cambodia. Corrupt practices are present at all levels, in various forms, and with shifting faces throughout the bureaucracy and institutions in the society. This research focuses on the impact corrupt practices have on ordinary households, where people suffer when encountering the health and education sector, law enforcers, and public registration to mention just a few corruption-prone locations.

This report is the product of the quantitative component of the Research Project entitled “Impact of and Attitudes Towards Corruption”. The study surveyed 2,000 households covering all Cambodia’s 24 provinces and municipalities. The survey is a follow up to an earlier survey conducted by the Center for Social Development (CSD) in 1998 called “The National Survey on Public Attitudes Towards Corruption” and uses comparative research from Thailand and Indonesia.

This survey is the first nationwide representative study on attitudes, perceptions, and impact of corruption on Cambodian households. The survey differs from other studies by using expenditure instead of income, providing a more honest picture of the impact of corruption on households cross-national. The survey shows that it is the city-dwellers and richer citizens who pay a larger percentage of their expenditure on bribes, while the data indicate that the poor do not have access to the most basic services, implying a very serious indirect consequence for them.

Corruption is a special topic for research whereby improved advanced methods are highly necessary. With this survey, CSD offers a highly developed design and welcomes future comparative studies so continual research on this very important topic can be carried on.

The results and findings of this quantitative component show that drastic and comprehensive measures to curb and counter corruption are urgently required at all levels of society. CSD wish that the Royal Government of Cambodia, donor agencies, NGO’s, and civil society groups will use this study widely so that real development and progress can be achieved for the benefit of all citizens.

Chea Vannath  
President



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Center for Social Development (CSD) would like to express its thanks and appreciation to all participants in this national representative survey on “Impact of and Attitudes Towards Corruption”. Thanks also go to the various ministries and authorities throughout the country for allowing this research to proceed and be smoothly undertaken.

A special thank you and appreciation is conveyed towards the Center for Advanced Study (CAS), especially Dr. Sokhom Hean and Mr. Roger Henke for their tireless efforts and dedication in completing this survey and to Ms. Christine J. Nissen, Program Director from CSD, for her enthusiastic commitment and coordination of all phases of the survey. Without these persons determined will and belief in making this survey possible, Cambodia would not have had this extensive document today.

We also wish to express our thanks to all the skilful survey enumerators from CAS: Mr. Lath Poch (supervisor), Mr. Bun Chan Dara, Ms. Chan Tansothea, Ms. Khy Sophanny, Mr. Muong Sophat, Mr. Ou Siren, Mr. Sou Ketya (supervisor), Ms. An Nitha, Ms. Mak Sophea, Mr. Ngin Sodara, Mr. Pen Samphas, Mr. Suy Bun Thoeun (supervisor), Ms. Mao Sophon, Mr. Ly Chea Piset, Ms. Oum Neary, Mr. Sok Borith, Mr. Am Sobol, Mr. Kem Than (supervisor), Ms. Chhim Sangvath, Ms. Chea Chan Neary, Mr. In Vong Sovuthikor, Mr. Chea Sophat, Mr. Yang Kamsean and to Data Analyst Mr. Chamroeun Bou. These persons’ effort ensured high quality and processing of the collected data, for which we are deeply thankful.

We could also like to acknowledge valuable comments and contributions from the Transparency International network.

CSD would like to thank CSD staff for valuable input including Mr. Heav Veasna, Dr. Lao Mong Hay, Dr. Chek Sotha, Dr. Neou Sun, Mrs. Agnes Rio, Mr. Oum Nareth, Ms. Noor Ayesha, Mr. Kevin Currie, Mr. Heal Sok Sary, and Dr. Sieng Huy.

Finally, we would like to extend our thanks and appreciation to Dan Church Aid, Diakonia, the Royal Danish Embassy in Bangkok, and the World Bank, whose financial support made this project a reality.